Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/028,161	THORSBAKKEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Thomas J. Cleary - USPTO.	(3)	
(2) <u>LeRoy Maunu - 35,274</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1,5-10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Authorization for attached Examiner's Amendment was received		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a write e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)
(Applica	and Applicant 5 Nepresentative 3	agnature – ir appropriate)